arXiv:1704.05142v1 [math.GT] 17 Apr 2017

Surjective homomorphisms between surface braid groups

Lei Chen

April 19, 2017

Abstract

Let $PB_n(S_{g,p})$ be the pure braid group of a genus $g > 1$ surface with p punctures. In this paper we prove that any surjective homomorphism $PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to PB_m(S_{g,p})$ factors through one of the forgetful homomorphisms. We then compute the automorphism group of $PB_n(S_{g,p})$, extending Irmak, Ivanov and McCarthy's result [IIM03] to the punctured case. Surprisingly, in contrast to the $n = 1$ case, any automorphism of $PB_n(S_{g,p})$, $n > 1$ is geometric.

1 Introduction

Given a manifold M and a positive number n, the *pure configuration space* of M is:

$$\mathrm{PConf}_n(M) = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) \in M^n : x_i \neq x_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}.$$

There is a natural free action of the permutation group Σ_n on $\mathrm{PConf}_n(M)$ by permuting the coordinates. We call the quotient space $\mathrm{Conf}_n(M) := \mathrm{PConf}_n(M)/\Sigma_n$ the *configuration space* of M. Let

$$PB_n(M) := \pi_1(\mathrm{PConf}_n(M)) \text{ and } B_n(M) := \pi_1(\mathrm{Conf}_n(M))$$

be the *n-strand pure braid group* and the *n-strand braid group* of M.

General problem. How can we continuously assign n distinct points on M to m distinct points on M? Equivalently, how can we classify all continuous maps between configuration spaces of M?

Let $S = S_{g,p}$ be a genus g surface with p punctures. When $M = S_{g,p}$ where $\chi(S_{g,p}) < 0$, we have $\mathrm{PConf}_n(M) \cong K(PB_n(M), 1)$ and $\mathrm{Conf}_n(M) \cong K(B_n(M), 1)$ are both $K(\pi, 1)$-spaces. The homotopy classes of continuous maps between $K(\pi, 1)$ spaces are in one-to-one correspondence with the homomorphisms between their fundamental groups. Therefore our general problem when $M = S_{g,p}$ is the same as classifying all homomorphisms of braid groups.

In this article we will discuss a solution for many cases of this general problem: classifying all surjective maps between braid groups. When $n \geq m > 0$, we have the natural *forgetful homomorphisms* $PB_n(S) \to PB_m(S)$ given by forgetting some $n - m$ strands in the braid: in other words, they are induced the maps $\mathrm{PConf}_n(S_{g,p}) \to \mathrm{PConf}_m(S_{g,p})$ that forget some extra coordinates. We have the following extended version of the theorems in [Che16].

Theorem 1.1 (Classification of surjections). *Let $S = S_{g,p}$ with $p \geq 0$ and $g > 1$. For any $m > 0$ and $n > 0$ every surjective homomorphism $F : PB_n(S) \to PB_m(S)$ factors through some forgetful homomorphism.*

For the braid group case, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.2 (**Braid groups to pure braid groups).** *Let $S = S_{g,p}$ with $p \geq 0$ and $g > 1$. For any $m > 0$ and $n > 1$ there is no surjective homomorphism*

$$F : B_n(S) \to PB_m(S).$$

More specifically, Theorem 1.5 in [Che16] deals with the case $p = 0$ and $m = 1$ of the above two theorems.

Historically, the first nontrivial surjective homomorphism between braid groups is coming from the classical miracle of "resolving the quartic". Let $RQ : \mathrm{Conf}_4(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{Conf}_3(\mathbb{C})$ be the map given by

$$RQ(a, b, c, d) = (ab + cd, ac + bd, ad + bc).$$

An easy computation shows that the induced homomorphism on the fundamental groups $RQ_* : B_4(\mathbb{C}) \to B_3(\mathbb{C})$ is surjective. Later Lin [Lin04] classified all homomorphisms $B_n(\mathbb{C}) \to B_m(\mathbb{C})$ when $n > m$. He proved that there is no surjective homomorphism $B_n(\mathbb{C}) \to B_m(\mathbb{C})$ when $n > m$ except RQ_* map. In proving this, Lin extended Artin's result [Art47] of classifying all homomorphisms $B_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \Sigma_n$ to the case $B_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \Sigma_m$. To get a similar result for surface braid groups, we need to get a better understanding of all the homomorphisms $B_n(S) \to \Sigma_m$, extending the result of Ivanov in [Iva90], where he classified all surjections $B_n(S) \to \Sigma_n$. We have the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1.3. *Let $S = S_{g,p}$ with $p \geq 0$ and $g > 1$, for any $m \neq n > 0$, there is no surjective homomorphism*

$$F : B_n(S) \to B_m(S).$$

To further understand all the surjections, we need to study $\mathrm{Aut}(B_n(S))$ and $\mathrm{Aut}(P_n(S))$, the automorphism groups of braid groups. Dyer and Grossman in [DG81] first computed $\mathrm{Out}(B_n(\mathbb{C})) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$ for $n > 1$. Irmak, Ivanov and McCarthy [IIM03] computed the automorphism of $PB_n(S_g)$ and showed that every element is geometric in the sense that it comes from a diffeomorphism of $S_{g.n}$. Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S)$ be the *extended mapping class group* of S, including both orientation-preserving elements and orientation-reversing elements. We have the *generalized Birman exact sequence*.

$$1 \to PB_n(S_g) \xrightarrow{\text{point pushing}} \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,n}) \to \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_g) \times \Sigma_n \to 1.$$

The generalized Birman exact sequence gives a homomorphism $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,n}) \to \mathrm{Aut}(PB_n(S_g))$ by conjugation on the normal subgroup $PB_n(S_g)$. Irmak, Ivanov and McCarthy proved the following result identifying these two groups.

Theorem 1.4 (**Irmak, Ivanov and McCarthy [IIM03]).** *Let $g > 1$, $n > 0$. Then*

$$Mod^{\pm}(S_{g,n}) \cong Aut(PB_n(S_g)).$$

However, in the case of the punctured surfaces, it is not true that every element in $\mathrm{Aut}(PB_1(S_{g,p}))$ is geometric, i.e. is induced from a diffeomorphism of $S_{g,p}$. But as our next result will show, as long as we have more strands in the braid group, the automorphisms that are not coming from geometry disappear. Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n})$ be the *extended mapping class group* of S_g that fixes two sets of punctures, one with p points and the other with n points preserving or reversing the orientation. Our result is the following.

Theorem 1.5 (**Punctured automorphism groups**). *When $g > 1$, $n > 1$ and $p \geq 0$,*

$$Mod^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n}) \cong Aut(PB_n(S_{g,p})).$$

Let $\mathrm{Inn}(G) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ be the *innermorphism subgroup* of a group G consisting of the elements in $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ that are coming from a conjugacy. We define $\mathrm{Out}(G) := \mathrm{Aut}(G)/\mathrm{Inn}(G)$, where $\mathrm{Inn}(G)$ denotes the group of inner automorphisms of G.

Corollary 1.6. *When $g > 1$, $n > 1$ and $p \geq 0$,*

$$Mod^{\pm}(S_{g,p}) \cong Out(PB_n(S_{g,p})).$$

Let $\mathrm{Homeo}(M)$ be the homeomorphism group of a manifold M, including both orientation-preserving and orientation-reversing homeomorphisms. The action of $f \in \mathrm{Homeo}(S_{g,p})$ on n-tuples of points in $(a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathrm{PConf}_n(S_{g,p})$ is given by

$$f(a_1, ..., a_n) = (f(a_1), ..., f(a_n)) \in \mathrm{PConf}_n(S_{g,p})$$

Therefore, we have a map

$$\mathrm{Homeo}(S_{g,p}) \xrightarrow{I} \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{PConf}_n(S_{g,p})).$$

Every element $A \in \mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{PConf}_n(S_{g,p}))$ induces an action on $PB_n(S_{g,p})$, which gives an element $A_* \in \mathrm{Out}(PB_n(S_{g,p}))$. Since this map is defined on homotopy classes of continuous maps, we have

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Homeo}(\mathrm{PConf}_n(S_{g,p}))) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ind}} \mathrm{Out}(PB_n(S_{g,p})).$$

Precomposing with I_* gives a homomorphism

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Homeo}(S_{g,p})) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Ind} \circ I_*} \mathrm{Out}(PB_n(S_{g,p})).$$

By combining Corollary 1.6 with the fact that $\pi_0(\mathrm{Homeo}(S_{g,p})) \cong \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p})$, we get $\mathrm{Ind} \circ I_*$ is an isomorphism. This shows that any self homotopy equivalent map of $\mathrm{PConf}_n(S_g)$ is induced by a homeomorphism of $S_{g,p}$, i.e. it is geometric.

Another interesting result of this paper is described as the following, which is the most important ingredient in proving Theorem 1.5.

Given any element in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n})$, there is an induced action on the fundamental group $\pi_1(S_{g,p+n})$. Since $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n})$ does not fix any base point, the action is only defined up to conjugacy. Therefore we have an homomorphism $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n}) \to \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n}))$, which turns out to an injection. The *partial point-pushing homomorphism* gives a subgroup

$$PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n}) < \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n})),$$

There is a partial Birman exact sequence:

$$1 \to PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n}) \to Mod^{\pm}_{g,p} \times \Sigma_n \to 1.$$

Therefore $PB_n(S_{g,p}) < \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n})$ is a normal subgroup, in other words, the normalizer of $PB_n(S_{g,p}) < \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n}))$ contains $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n})$. The following theorem identifies the normalizer with $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n})$.

Theorem 1.7. *The normalizer of $PB_n(S_{g,p}) < Out(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n}))$ is $Mod^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n})$.*

2 Proof of the classification of surjections

In this section, we will compute $H^*(\mathrm{PConf}_m(S_{g,p});\mathbb{Q})$ and use this to prove Theorem 1.1. Most computations here are similar to the computations in [Che16].

Lemma 2.1. *1) Let $g>1$ and $p,m>0$ be integers,*

$$H^1(PConf_m(S_{g,p});\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^1(S^m_{g,p};\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^1(S_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})^{\oplus m}.$$

2)The following sequence is exact.

$$1 \to \oplus_{1\le i<j\le m}\mathbb{Q}[G_{ij}] \to H^2(S^m_{g,p};\mathbb{Q}) \to H^2(PConf_m(S_{g,p});\mathbb{Q})$$

where the image of each G_{ij} in $H^2(S^m_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})$ is the dual of the diagonal element $\triangle_{ij}$.

Proof. We follow the computation from Totaro [Tot96]. We only need low degree computations, the only differential that needed consideration is $d_2 : E_2^{0,1} \to E_2^{2,0}$. By Theorem 2 in [Tot96], the differential is determined by the diagonal class $[\triangle] \in H^2(S_{g,p}\times S_{g,p};\mathbb{Q}) \subset H^2(S^m_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})$. □

Let us denote H_i as the ith coordinate of $H^1(\mathrm{PConf}_m(S_{g,p});\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^1(S_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})^{\otimes m}$. Let $\{a_k,b_k\}_{k=1}^g$ be a symplectic basis for $H^1(S_g;\mathbb{Q})$ and $p_i : S_g^m \to S_g$ be the projection to the ith component. For $1\le i,j\le m$, we denote

$$M_{i,j} = \sum_k p_i^* a_k \otimes p_j^* b_k - p_i^* b_k \otimes p_j^* a_k.$$

The natural embedding $S_{g,p} \xrightarrow{i} S_g$ gives us the pullback map $i^* : H^1(S_g;\mathbb{Q}) \to H^1(S_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})$. We will call the pull back of $\{a_k,b_k\}$ in $H^1(S_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})$ the same name.

Lemma 2.2. *The diagonal element $\triangle_{ij} = p_i^*[S_{g,p}] + p_j^*[S_{g,p}] + i^*M_{ij} \in H^2(S^m_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})$. When $p>0$, we have $\triangle_{ij} = i^*M_{ij} \in H^2(S^m_{g,p};\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. In Lemma 3.4 [Che16], we identify $\triangle_{ij}$ with the diagonal in $H^2(S_g^m;\mathbb{Q})$. Notice that the diagonal $\triangle_{ij}$ is the pullback of the diagonal in $H^2(S_g^m;\mathbb{Q})$, therefore when $p>0$, we have that $i^*[S_{g,p}]=0$. Furthermore, $p_i^*[S_{g,p}]=0$. □

Definition 2.3 (Crossing elements). We call an element $x = x^1 + ... + x^m \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^m H^1(S_g;\mathbb{Q}) \cong H^1(\mathrm{PConf}_m(S_{g,p});\mathbb{Q})$ a *crossing element* if $\#\{i : x^i \neq 0\} > 1$, i.e. there exists H_i such that $x \in H_i$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $x = x^1 + ... + x^m$ and $y = y^1 + ... + y^m$ be two element in $H^1(PConf_m(S_{g,p});\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose that x or y is a crossing element. If $xy = 0 \in H^2(PConf_m(S_{g,p});\mathbb{Q})$, then x and y are proportional, i.e. $\lambda x = \mu y$ for some constants λ and μ.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.7 in [Che16]. □

Lemma 2.5. *Any homomorphism*

$$PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to \pi_1(S_{g,p})$$

either factors through some forgetful map f_i or has cyclic image.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.4, the proof follows the same idea as in [Che16]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We will use induction on m to prove this.

When $m = 1$, the theorem reduces to Lemma 2.5. We assume that when $m < k$, our theorem is true. For $m = k$, we have a surjection $f : PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to PB_k(S_{g,p})$.

By post-composing with a projection $p_k : PB_k(S_{g,p}) \to PB_{k-1}(S_{g,p})$, we have a new surjection $p_k \circ f : PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to PB_{k-1}(S_{g,p})$. By the inductive hypothesis, this surjection must factor through some forgetful map. We have the following exact sequence.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & PB_{n-k+1}(S_{g,p+k-1}) & \longrightarrow & PB_n(S_{g,p}) & \longrightarrow & PB_{k-1}(S_{g,p}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & PB_1(S_{g,p+k-1}) & \longrightarrow & PB_k(S_{g,p}) & \longrightarrow & PB_{k-1}(S_{g,p}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Using the fact that $PB_{n-k+1}(S_{g,p+k-1}) \to PB_1(S_{g,p+k-1})$ factors through a forgetful homomorphism, we get our result that $PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to PB_k(S_{g,p})$ factors through a forgetful homomorphism.

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We only need to prove that there does not exist a surjective homomorphism $F : B_n(S) \to \pi_1(S)$ when $n > 1$. Suppose we have a surjection $F : B_n(S) \to \pi_1(S)$. Restricting to the finite index subgroup $\mathrm{PB}_n(S)$, we have a surjective homomorphism $F' : PB_n(S) \to \mathrm{Im}(PB_n(S))$. The finite index subgroup of $\pi_1(S)$ is a free group or surface group with higher complexity, thus $\mathrm{Im}(PB_n(S))$ is not cyclic. Lemma 2.5 gives us a contradiction.

□

3 Automorphism group of $\mathbf{PB}_n(S_{g,p})$

In this section, we will compute the automorphism group of $\mathrm{PB}_n(S_{g,p})$. The main idea is to use the fact that pseudo-Anosov elements exist n subgroups of mapping class groups in the punctured case and their property.

We quote the following Lemma 2.2 in [HT85].

Lemma 3.1. *The pseudo-Anosov element of mapping class group does not fix any nonperipheral isotopy class of curves(including nonsimple curves).*

Let us introduce another interesting lemma of Kra, e.g. see Theorem 14.6 [FM12].

Let S be a surface possibly with punctures. Let $\mathrm{Mod}(S, b)$ be the mapping class group of S with one marked point b. The following is the Birman exact sequence.

$$1 \to \pi_1(S, b) \xrightarrow{Push} \mathrm{Mod}(S, b) \to \mathrm{Mod}(S) \to 1$$

We say that an element γ of $\pi_1(S, n)$ fills S if every closed curve in S that represents γ intersects every essential simple closed curve in S.

Lemma 3.2 (Kra's construction). *Let $S = S_{g,n}$ and assume that S is hyperbolic. Let $\gamma \in \pi_1(S, b)$. The mapping class $Push(\gamma) \in Mod(S, b)$ is pseudo-Anosov if and only if γ fills S.*

Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n})$ be the *extended mapping class group* of S, including both orientation-preserving elements and orientation-reversing elements that fixes two sets of punctures, one with p points and the other with n points.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. In proving the theorem, we need to derive a property of the punctured case of the Dehn-Nielsen-Baer Theorem which we find interesting. In the punctured case, we have the following identification.

Theorem 3.3 (e.g. Theorem 8.8 [FM12]). *Let $S = S_{g,n}$ be a hyperbolic surface of genus g with n punctures. Let $Out^*(\pi_1(S))$ be the subgroup of $Out(\pi_1(S))$ consisting of elements that leave invariant the set of conjugacy classes in $\pi_1(S)$ of the simple closed curves surrounding individual punctures. Then the natural map*

$$Mod^{\pm}(S) \to Out^*(\pi_1(S))$$

is an isomorphism.

Let R be an element of the normalizer of $PB_n(S_{g,p})$ in $\mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,n+p}))$. Therefore R acts as an automorphism A on $PB_n(S_{g,p})$. Since the multiplication of $PB_n(S_g)$ as elements in $Out(\pi_1(S_{g,n}))$ is by composition, for any $e \in PB_n(S_{g,p})$, we have the following.

$$R \circ e = A(e) \circ R$$

Let $\{c_i\}$ be the homotopy classes of the surrounding punctures. Suppose that R does not fix the set of conjugacy classes of the punctures. Without loss of generality, we assume $R(c_1) = a$ where a is nonperipheral. Therefore we have $R \circ e(c_1) = a$ and $A(e) \circ R(c_1) = A(e)(a)$, and thus $A(e)(a) = a$ for every e.

However, because of Lemma 3.2, we know that that there is an element in $PB_n(S_{g,p})$ that is pseudo-Anosov. By Lemma 3.1, we know that the pseudo-Anosov element does not fix any nonperipheral isotopy class of curves a. This is a contradiction. Therefore we have that

$$R \in \mathrm{Out}^*(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n})) \cong \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n})$$

The *generalized Birman exact sequence* is the following exact sequence:

$$1 \to PB_{n+p}(S_g) \xrightarrow{\text{point pushing}} \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n}) \to \mathrm{Mod}(S_g) \times \Sigma_{n+p} \to 1$$

Any element $x \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p+n})$ can induce an automorphism on $PB_n(S_{g,p})$ if and only if x as an automorphism on $PB_{n+p}(S_g)$ factors through the following exact sequence.

$$1 \to PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to PB_{n+p}(S_g) \to PB_p(S_g) \to 1$$

As a result, x fixes the p punctures as a set. Therefore x should also fixes the other n punctures as well, and thus $x \in \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n})$. □

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Given any automorphism $F : PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to PB_n(S_{g,p})$, after precomposing with a permutation, we can assume that $p_n \circ F$ factors through p_n. We denote $\mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_n(S_g))$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(PB_n(S_g))$ that fixes each individual puncture. Let $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,\overline{n}})$ be the extended mapping class group of S_g that fixes p points as a set and n points individually. Our theorem is equivalent to the following statement.

Statement.

$$\mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_n(S_{g,p})) \cong \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,\overline{n}})$$

Proof of Statement. Let $F \in \mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_n(S_{g,p}))$. Let $p_n : PB_n(S_{g,p}) \to PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p})$ be the forgetful map that forgets the last point. $p_n \circ F$ factors through some forgetful map. By our assumption on F, we know that it factors thought p_n as well. Let F' denote the automorphism on $PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p})$ which is the automorphism that $p_n \circ F$ factors through. Therefore we have the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}) & \longrightarrow & PB_n(S_{g,p}) & \xrightarrow{p_n} & PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle R} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F'} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}) & \longrightarrow & PB_n(S_{g,p}) & \xrightarrow{p_n} & PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

From this diagram, we have a homomorphism $P : \mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_n(S_g)) \to \mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_{n-1}(S_g))$ that maps F to F'. We next analyze the kernel and image of P.

Part 1 (Kernel of P.) Suppose that $P(F) =$ identity and R be the restriction of F on $\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1})$. For any $e \in PB_n(S_{g,p})$ and $x \in \pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1})$, we have

$$R(exe^{-1}) = F(e)R(x)F(e)^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1})).$$

Consider $R, e, F(e)$ elements in $\mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$. Since $P(F) =$ identity, we have $F(e) = e \in Out(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$. Therefore we have $R \circ e = e \circ R$, which means that R is in the centralizer of $PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p}) \subset Out(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$. By Proposition 1.7, $R \in Mod^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n})$. However $PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p})$ does not have any centralizer in $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n})$ which can also been seen from the existence of pseudo-Anosov elements. Therefore $R = 1 \in \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$ and we have the following exact sequence.

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_n(S_{g,p})) \xrightarrow{P} \mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p}))$$

Part 2 (Image of P.) We have an embedding $PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p}) \to \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$. If we can extend F' to F, we would have $R \in \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$ such that for any $e \in PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p})$, we have $R \circ F'(e) = e \circ R \in \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$. In other words, there exists $R \in \mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$ such that $F'(e) = R^{-1} \circ e \circ R$. Thus we know P only maps to the normalizer of $PB_{n-1}(S_{g,p})$ in $\mathrm{Out}(\pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}))$, which by Proposition 1.7 is exactly $\mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,n-1})$. In the beginning, we assume that F' does not permute the $n-1$ points. Therefore $\mathrm{Im}(P) \cong \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,\overline{n-1}})$. We have the following isomorphic exact sequences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Aut}^0(PB_n(S_g)) & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathrm{Im}(P) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle =} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \cong} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(S_{g,p+n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,\overline{n}}) & \xrightarrow{P} & \mathrm{Mod}^{\pm}(S_{g,p,\overline{n-1}}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

Therefore we prove our statement. □

□

References

[Art47] Emil Artin. Braids and permutations. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 643–649, 1947.

[Che16] Lei Chen. The universal n-pointed surface bundle only has n sections. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1611.04624*, 2016.

[DG81] Joan L Dyer and Edna K Grossman. The automorphism groups of the braid groups. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 103(6):1151–1169, 1981.

[FM12] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups*, volume 49 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.

[HT85] Michael Handel and William P Thurston. New proofs of some results of nielsen. *Advances in Mathematics*, 56(2):173–191, 1985.

[IIM03] Elmas Irmak, Nikolai V Ivanov, and John D McCarthy. Automorphisms of surface braid groups. *arXiv preprint math/0306069*, 2003.

[Iva90] Nikolai V Ivanov. Permutation representations of braid groups of surfaces. *Matematicheskii Sbornik*, 181(11):1464–1474, 1990.

[Lin04] Vladimir Lin. Braids and permutations. *arXiv preprint math/0404528*, 2004.

[Tot96] Burt Totaro. Configuration spaces of algebraic varieties. *Topology*, 35(4):1057–1067, 1996.

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Chicago
E-mail: chenlei@math.uchicago.edu